

STATE OF OHIO
STATE PERSONNEL BOARD OF REVIEW

STEPHANIE M. COBB,

Appellant

v.

Case No. 05-REM-03-0092

DEPARTMENT OF REHABILITATION AND CORRECTION,
OHIO REFORMATORY FOR WOMEN,


Appellee

ORDER


This matter came on for consideration on the Report and Recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge in the above-captioned appeal.

After a thorough examination of the record and a review of the Report and Recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge, along with any objections to that report which have been timely and properly filed, the Board hereby adopts the Recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge.

Wherefore, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the instant appeal be **DISMISSED** for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, pursuant to R.C. 124.27(C).



Tracy – Aye
Lumpe – Aye
Booth – Aye



Roger W. Tracy, *Chairman*

CERTIFICATION


The State of Ohio, State Personnel Board of Review, ss:

I, the undersigned clerk of the State Personnel Board of Review, hereby certify that the foregoing is ~~(the original)~~ a true copy of the original) order or resolution of the State Personnel Board of Review as entered upon the Board's Journal, a copy of which has been forwarded to the parties this date, August 29, 2005.



Diana Mills
Clerk

NOTE: Please see the reverse side of this Order or the attachment to this Order for information regarding your appeal rights.



**STATE OF OHIO
STATE PERSONNEL BOARD OF REVIEW**

Stephanie M. Cobb,

Case No. 05-REM-03-0092

Appellant

v.

June 29, 2005

Department of Rehabilitation & Correction,
Ohio Reformatory for Women,

Appellee

Jeannette E. Gunn
Administrative Law Judge


REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

To the Honorable State Personnel Board of Review:

This matter came on for consideration on June 29, 2005, upon a review of the information contained in the record. Appellant indicated in her notice of appeal that she was removed from employment with Appellee on March 3, 2005. Appellant further indicated that she was removed during her probationary period.

Pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 124.27(C), this Board has no jurisdiction over removals of employees who were in their probationary period at the time of removal. Specifically, R.C. 124.27(C) states: "...[a] probationary employee duly removed or reduced in position for unsatisfactory service does not have the right to appeal the removal or reduction under section 124.34 of the Revised Code."

Therefore, since Appellant was removed during her probationary period, I respectfully **RECOMMEND** this appeal be **DISMISSED** for lack of jurisdiction over the subject matter.


Jeannette E. Gunn
Administrative Law Judge

JEG: