STATE OF OHIO
STATE PERSONNEL BOARD OF REVIEW

William J. Taraschke,

Appellant,

v.

Department of Rehabilitation & Correction,
Adult Parole Authority

Appellee.

Case Nos. 2013-TFR-06-0139
2013-REM-06-0140
2013-RED-06-0141
2013-INV-06-0144

ORDER

These matters came on for consideration on the Report and Recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge in the above-captioned appeals.

After a thorough examination of the entirety of the records, including a review of the Report and Recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge, along with any objections to that report which have been timely and properly filed, the Board hereby adopts the Recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge.

Wherefore, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Appellee's motions to dismiss are **GRANTED** and the four instant appeals are **DISMISSED** based upon Appellant's failure to prosecute his appeals, pursuant to O.A.C. 124-11-07 (A)(2) and (C).

Casey - Aye
Lumpe - Aye
Tillery - Not Participating

Terry L. Casey, *Chairman*

CERTIFICATION

The State of Ohio, State Personnel Board of Review, ss:

I, the undersigned clerk of the State Personnel Board of Review, hereby certify that this document and any attachment thereto constitutes ~~(the original/~~a true copy of the original) order or resolution of the State Personnel Board of Review as entered upon the Board's Journal, a copy of which has been forwarded to the parties this date, January 09, 2014.

Clerk

NOTE: *Please see the reverse side of this Order* ***or*** *the attachment to this Order for information regarding your appeal rights.*

1/9/14 ec

STATE OF OHIO
STATE PERSONNEL BOARD OF REVIEW

William J. Taraschke,	Case No. 2013-TFR-06-0139 Case No. 2013-REM-06-0140 Case No. 2013-RED-06-0141 Case No. 2013-INV-06-0144
Appellant	
v.	December 3, 2013
Adult Parole Authority, Department of Rehab. & Corr.	
Appellee	Christopher R. Young *Administrative Law Judge*

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

To the Honorable State Personnel Board of Review:

These causes come on due to Appellee's October 11, 2013 filing of motions to dismiss and accompanying memoranda in support concerning each of the fourfhree above-captioned appeals. Appellant was provided with the requisite time and with additional time to file Appellant's memoranda *contra* to Appellee's motions to dismiss, but failed to do so.

These matters were set for Status Conference, which was scheduled to occur on October 11, 2013 at 10:00 a.m. Appellee appeared at Status Conference through its counsel, Assistant Attorney General Amanda L. Scheeser. Appellant attended the status conference appeared *pro se*. Appellee's moved to dismiss the four instant appeals for Appellant's failure to file these actions in a timely manner. The Appellant was instructed to file a memorandum in opposition if he so desired, which he has not to date. Further, it should be noted that two other accompanying appeals (2013-WHB-06-0142 and 2013-OSH-06-0143) are still pending and set for record hearing.

I find that Appellant has failed to comply with this Board's requirements for filing a memorandum *contra* to a properly filed and supported motion to dismiss, as set forth in O.A.C. 124-11-07 (A) (2) and (C). These violations evidence Appellant's

failure to prosecute the above-referenced appeals, and merit dismissal of the matters.

Therefore, I respectfully **RECOMMEND** that the State Personnel Board of Review **GRANT** Appellee's motions to dismiss and **DISMISS** the four instant appeals based upon Appellant's failure to prosecute his appeals, pursuant to O.A.C. 124-11-07 (A) (2) and (C).



Christopher R. Young
Administrative Law Judge

CRY: