

STATE OF OHIO
STATE PERSONNEL BOARD OF REVIEW

MARY A. CISCO,

Appellant,

v.

Case No. 12-REM-01-0014

VILLAGE OF SABINA,

Appellee

ORDER


This matter came on for consideration on the Report and Recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge in the above-captioned appeal.

After a thorough examination of the entirety of the record, including a review of the Report and Recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge, along with any objections to that report which have been timely and properly filed, the Board hereby adopts the Recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge.

Wherefore, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the appeal is **DISMISSED** for lack of jurisdiction.




Casey - Aye
Lumpe - Aye
Tillery - Aye


Terry L. Casey, *Chairman*


CERTIFICATION

The State of Ohio, State Personnel Board of Review, ss:

I, the undersigned clerk of the State Personnel Board of Review, hereby certify that this document and any attachment thereto constitutes ~~(the original)~~ a true copy of the original order or resolution of the State Personnel Board of Review as entered upon the Board's Journal, a copy of which has been forwarded to the parties this date, March 29, 2012.


Erin E. Connors
Clerk

NOTE: Please see the reverse side of this Order or the attachment to this Order for information regarding your appeal rights.



**STATE OF OHIO
STATE PERSONNEL BOARD OF REVIEW**

Mary A. Cisco,

Case No. 12-REM-01-0014

Appellant

v.

February 10, 2012

Village of Sabina,

Christopher R. Young

Appellee

Administrative Law Judge

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

To the Honorable State Personnel Board of Review:

This matter came on for consideration on February 10, 2012. Appellant appeals her removal from employment with Village of Sabina .

R.C. Section 124.03(A) provides that this Board shall hear appeals of employees in the state service. R.C. Section 124.01(B) defines "state service" as follows:

* * *


(B) "State service" includes all offices and positions in the service of the state and the counties and general health districts of the state. "State service" does not include offices and positions in the service of the cities, city health districts, and city school districts of the state.

* * *

Clearly, a village employee is not covered by the definitions set forth above. Therefore, this Board lacks jurisdiction to hear a direct appeal arising from the removal of a village employee.

Mary A. Cisco
Case No. 12-REM-01-0014
Page 2

Therefore, I **RECOMMEND** that this case be **DISMISSED** for lack of jurisdiction.


Christopher R. Young
Administrative Law Judge

CRY: