

STATE OF OHIO  
STATE PERSONNEL BOARD OF REVIEW

Mel Meloy,

Case Nos. 09-LAY-12-0512  
09-MIS-12-0513

*Appellant.*

v.

Fairfield County Sheriff,

*Appellee.*

**ORDER**

This matter came on for consideration on the Report and Recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge in the above-captioned appeals.

After a thorough examination of the record and a review of the Report and Recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge, along with any objections to that report which have been timely and properly filed, the Board hereby adopts the Recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge.

Wherefore, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the two instant appeals be **DISMISSED** as moot, pursuant to O.R.C. § 124.03.

Lumpe - Not Participating  
Sfalcin - Aye  
Tillery - Aye



*Adriana Sfalcin, Vice Chairman*

**CERTIFICATION**

The State of Ohio, State Personnel Board of Review, ss:

I, the undersigned clerk of the State Personnel Board of Review, hereby certify that this document and any attachment thereto constitute ~~the original~~ a true copy of the original order or resolution of the State Personnel Board of Review as entered upon the Board's Journal, a copy of which has been forwarded to the parties this date, October 1, 2010.

*Michelle Hunsley*  
Clerk

**NOTE:** Please see the reverse side of this Order or the attachment to this Order for information regarding your appeal rights.

10/1/10 MH

**STATE OF OHIO  
STATE PERSONNEL BOARD OF REVIEW**

MEL MELOY,  
  
*Appellant*

Case Nos. 09-LAY-12-0512  
09-MIS-12-0513

v.

August 30, 2010

FAIRFIELD COUNTY SHERIFF,  
  
*Appellee*

JAMES R. SPRAGUE  
*Administrative Law Judge*

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

To the Honorable State Personnel Board of Review:

These causes come on due to Appellant's filing of an appeal from a layoff from his position with Appellee and from the filing of an additional appeal regarding several attendant issues related to that layoff. In furtherance of consideration of these matters, the undersigned and respective counsel held several pre-hearings, which culminated in the establishment of an agreed briefing schedule on several outstanding issues. Accordingly, on July 16, 2010, Appellee timely filed its brief. To date, Appellant has not filed his brief, although this Board has provided an extended time period to do so.

Based on the activities in which Appellant was engaged to attempt to resolve these matters outside of the jurisdiction of this Board and based on Appellant's non-filing of his brief, it appears Appellant has been successful in his attempt to resolve these matters. Thus, it appears the two instant cases are now moot.

Therefore, I respectfully **RECOMMEND** that the State Personnel Board of Review **DISMISS** the two instant appeals as moot, pursuant to R.C. 124.03.

  
JAMES R. SPRAGUE  
*Administrative Law Judge*

JRS: